# **TIMSS-R 1999 Preliminary Analysis**

The Third International Math and Science Study for 1999 (TIMSS 1999) was completed by 1,844 (909 girls, 935 boys) Idaho students. Participants were all in the 8<sup>th</sup> grade with an average age of 14.2 years. Thirty-eight countries participated in this international study along with 13 states and 14 individual school districts or consortia. All test scores are reported on a scale of 0 to 800 points. The TIMSS 1999 also included in-depth surveys of the students, parents, teachers, and administrators.

This analysis summarizes information relevant to Idaho math and science students. The entire report is available online at <a href="http://www.timss.org">http://www.timss.org</a>.

### Math

The mathematics portion of the TIMSS 1999 included five content areas, abbreviated as follows:

- ♦ Fractions and Number Sense (Fractions)
- ♦ Measurement (Measurement)
- ♦ Data Representation, Analysis, and Probability (Data Analysis)
- ♦ Geometry (Geometry)
- ♦ Algebra (Algebra)

The results of the TIMSS 1999 show that Idaho 8<sup>th</sup> grade students perform slightly above the international average of 487, and slightly below the United States (US) average in math. Idaho students' scores were similar to those of students in North Carolina (NC) and Maryland (MD), and Idaho demographics are similar to those of Oregon students. Throughout the math section of this analysis summary, comparisons to NC, MD, OR will be made. Additional comparisons to the US averages will also be included.

#### **Performance**

Idaho students performed about equally with students across the United States. About one-quarter of Idaho students are above the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile and 5% are above the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. It should also be noted that only 12% of Idaho students fall below the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile.

Nationwide, all students appear to have problems in the areas of Measurement and Geometry. Idaho students are no exception, with their lowest scores in these two areas.

#### **Overall Scores**

Overall	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
	495	495	495	514	502

### **Overall Percentile Rankings**

Percent of students scoring above the indicated percentiles:

Percentile	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
90 <sup>th</sup>	5%	7%	8%	10%	9%
75 <sup>th</sup>	24%	25%	27%	32%	28%
50 <sup>th</sup> (Median)	61%	57%	57%	69%	61%
25 <sup>th</sup>	88%	88%	87%	91%	88%

### Average Scores by Math Content Area

Average scores by all students in the five content areas:

Content Area	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
Fractions	505	497	501	521	509
Measurement	482	472	482	500	482
Data Analysis	501	502	504	516	506
Geometry	465	475	466	486	473
Algebra	500	510	499	515	506

## **Gender**

Please note that Idaho girls scored the same as boys on the TIMSS 1999 math test, suggesting that efforts in gender-equity in math and science may be having a positive effect. Also note that the international percentile rankings for girls and boys are very close to each other and to expected values.

Boys scored higher in the areas of Measurement and Geometry. However, girls scored higher than boys in Data Analysis and Algebra, and the same as boys on Fractions and Number Sense.

### Average Scores by Gender

Average scores of male and female students:

Gender	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
Female	495	494	490	514	498
Male	495	497	499	514	505

### Gender Percentile Rankings

Percent of students scoring above the indicated percentiles by gender:

Percentile ID NC MD OR	US
------------------------	----

Gender	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M
75 <sup>th</sup>	24%	26%	24%	26%	22%	28%	24%	27%	23%	27%
50 <sup>th</sup> (Median)	49%	51%	49%	51%	48%	52%	49%	51%	49%	51%

### Average Scores by Math Content Area by Gender

Average scores by gender in each math content area:

Content	ID		N	NC		MD		R	US	
Gender	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M
Fractions	505	506	492	502	496	507	518	524	505	514
Measurement	479	485	471	473	477	487	497	503	475	489
Data Analysis	503	499	504	499	502	507	516	516	503	508
Geometry	462	468	473	478	462	471	485	487	469	477
Algebra	504	496	512	507	499	500	522	509	507	504

### Language, Race/Ethnicity, and Economic Issues

An obvious problem area nationwide is low scores for LEP and minority students. Scores for Idaho LEP and Hispanic students mirror scores from other standardized and state measures such as ITBS/TAP and the science part of TIMSS 1999. Please note that while Idaho does not have a significant minority population of 8<sup>th</sup> grade students other than Hispanic, low scores for other racial and ethnic groups are seen nationwide. Data for other ethnic groups in Idaho and elsewhere did not include enough data points to conduct statistical analyses.

Percentages of students from low social-economic status families were reported. However, these percentages did not include a disaggregation of TIMSS 1999 scores.

### Limited English Proficient (LEP)

Average scores and percent of students who speak English in their homes:

Level of	ID		NC		MD		OR		US	
English	%	Score								
Always	92	501	96	798	91	497	92	520	90	509
Sometimes	7	430	3	471	8	493	7	456	9	456
Never	1	_	1	_	1	_	1	_	1	_

### Race/Ethnicity

Average scores and percent of students by race/ethnicity:

	]	ID		NC		MD		R	US	
Race/Ethnicity	%	Score								
White	83	506	62	521	55	521	80	523	63	525
Black	1	_	31	447	30	438	1	_	15	444
Hispanic	10	432	3	474	4	487	8	452	12	457
Asian/Pacific	2	_	1	_	5	551	4	531	5	539
American Indian	2	_	1	_	1	_	3	482	1	_
Other	2	_	2	_	5	511	4	517	4	496

#### Free/Reduced Lunch

Percentage of students receiving free and reduced lunch:

Free/Reduced	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
	37%	44%	28%	33%	39%

### **Classrooms and the Curriculum**

It appears instructional time follows a Yerkes-Dodson curve, with too much and too little instruction causing a negative effect on scores. However, the TIMSS 1999 data does not support this conclusion. There is not enough evidence available to determine if too much or too little instruction causes a lowering of scores, or if this is an artifact of an undetermined variable. The same may be said for class size, attendance, and interruptions. The TIMSS 1999 data does not include enough data to appropriately determine the causation of these unusual results.

On the other hand, there is evidence that appropriate use of calculators and computers will help students score higher. Note, however, that inappropriate, forced, or continuous use of computers and calculators has a detrimental effect, as does not using these tools at all.

Homework also seems to be an important tool for raising student scores. TIMSS 1999 did not include an indication of the amount of homework assigned. However, the students of teachers who emphasize the importance of homework tend to score higher than students whose teachers do not emphasize homework.

### Math Instructional Time

Average score and percent of students by the average number of hours of mathematics taught per week:

	I	ID		NC		<b>1</b> D	C	R	US	
Hours	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score
>5	13	488	48	493	17	474	9	545	16	490
3.5-5	65	499	37	498	60	489	64	519	56	501
2-3.5	13	512	7	492	10	504	19	483	17	528
<2	10	454	8	491	13	472	8	510	11	491

## Class Size

Average score and percent of students by mathematics class size:

	I	D	N	NC		MD		R	US	
Class Size	%	Score								
1-20	43	481	22	482	11	497	26	500	21	507
21-35	52	503	77	497	84	488	74	521	73	504
>35	6	488	1	_	5	419	0	_	6	488

#### Attendance

Average scores and percent of students whose school reports low, medium, and high attendance in math class (based on number of tardies, absences, and skips):

	I	ID		C	M	ID	O	R	US	
Attendance	%	Score								
High	14	498	10	483	11	514	4	487	19	534
Medium	78	499	84	502	80	490	84	515	68	498
Low	8	469	6	452	10	452	12	504	13	470

### **Interruptions**

Average score and percent of students by frequency of interruptions of math study (telephone calls, announcements, administrative visits, etc.)

	I	ID		NC		ID	OR		US	
Interruptions	%	Score								
Never	11	484	7	474	12	494	11	491	10	494
Infrequent	60	510	60	513	60	513	59	532	59	522
Often	18	475	21	485	17	475	19	499	20	488
Frequent	11	463	12	448	11	465	11	486	11	455

#### Calculator Use

Average score and percent of students using calculators in mathematics classes:

Calculator	I	ID		NC		ID	OR		US	
Use	%	Score								
Unrestricted	23	510	29	485	42	509	52	526	34	524
Restricted	75	490	70	496	58	468	48	502	66	493
Not Permitted	2	_	1	_	0	_	0	_	0	_

#### Computer Use

Average score and percent of students using computers in mathematics classes the indicated amount:

Computer	I	ID		IC	M	ID	O	R	US	
Use	%	Score								
Always	7	434	13	456	13	447	12	482	12	463
Occasionally	17	507	34	500	36	504	26	534	27	520
Never	76	498	53	503	51	507	62	515	61	506

### Homework Emphasis

Average score and percent of students of teachers reporting low, medium, and high emphasis on math homework:

Amount of	I	ID		IC	M	ID	OR		US	
Homework	%	Score								
High	14	516	21	534	14	524	21	558	25	528
Medium	83	492	75	486	85	491	76	506	75	495
Low	3	476	4	463	2	_	3	453	1	_

### **The Teachers**

The TIMSS 1999 identifies two important factors among Idaho math teachers. First, there are few young teachers entering the teaching profession in mathematics and second, less than 2 out of three Idaho math teachers actually majored in mathematics or math education during their preservice education. The corollary to these two factors is that 25% of Idaho math teachers report medium or low confidence in their ability to teach 8<sup>th</sup> grade mathematics.

This should not be construed to be an indictment of Idaho's higher education programs in teacher education. Conversely, it has been reported in other studies that many of the math and science graduates of Idaho's post-secondary teacher education programs are recruited and hired out-of-state. The data reported in the TIMSS 1999 seem to support that conclusion, especially when compared to the other states and nationwide.

It should also be noted that Geometry, the area Idaho students scored lowest, is the area of professional development focused on the least during teacher inservice programs.

### Age of Teachers

Percent of students taught by teachers in indicated age brackets:

Teacher Age	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
<30	<b>7%</b>	29%	24%	19%	11%
30-39	28%	23%	19%	16%	25%
40-49	43%	35%	32%	36%	37%
>50	22%	13%	26%	29%	27%

## Teachers' Major Area of Study

Percent of students taught by teachers graduating in indicated major area of study. Teachers who responded that they majored in more than one area are reflected in all categories (state totals will equal more than 100%):

Major	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
Mathematics	28%	50%	40%	39%	41%
Math Ed	34%	50%	35%	39%	37%
Science	17%	26%	8%	21%	16%
Education	68%	61%	63%	66%	54%
Other	43%	31%	37%	49%	46%

### Math Teacher Confidence

Average scores and percent of students taught by teachers indicating a specific level of confidence in math teaching ability:

	I	ID		NC		ID	OR		US	
Confidence	%	Score								
High	75	508	88	497	92	489	78	516	87	505
Medium	18	461	11	479	8	444	18	506	11	489
Low	7	447	1	_	0	_	4	480	2	_

### Professional Development Focus

Percent of students whose teachers report that professional development is focused on indicated math content areas (teachers report multiple content areas as applicable):

Content Area	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
Fractions	40%	53%	46%	42%	54%
Measurement	34%	53%	41%	41%	45%
Data Analysis	33%	53%	65%	46%	50%
Geometry	24%	53%	40%	38%	45%
Algebra	37%	56%	58%	45%	56%

### **The Students**

There appears to be a direct positive correlation between educational resources and computer use in the home and student scores on the TIMSS 1999 math test, as reported in other studies. The TIMSS 1999 also confirms studies that show that study outside of class improves test scores with study time of more than one hour per day greatly improved test scores. Idaho students report studying for all subjects about 2 hours per day.

It is also interesting to note how students spend their daily out-of-class leisure hours. Reports from Idaho students parallels that of students in other states and nationwide. Of particular interest is the report that reading occupies less than 45 minutes per day, and that music lessons and practice did not make the list.

#### Home Resources

Average scores and percent of students with varying levels of educational resources (not including computers) at home:

	I	ID		[C	N	<b>Í</b> D	C	R	US	
Resources	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score
High	21	532	16	546	26	544	28	556	22	555
Medium	74	492	81	489	71	481	68	502	73	492
Low	5	403	4	422	3	415	3	421	4	427

## Home Computers

Average scores and percent of students with computers in their homes:

	I	ID		[C	M	ID	O	R	US	
Computers	%	Score								
Yes	82	505	74	507	86	504	86	524	80	515
No	18	452	26	461	14	442	14	457	20	459

## Out-of-school Study Time

Average scores for students studying the indicated time on a daily basis

	Ι	ID		NC		ID	O	R	US	
Study Hours	%	Score								
>3 hours	17	490	23	490	20	501	19	524	22	508
1-3 hours	55	509	57	510	60	506	55	526	56	517
<1 hour	28	479	19	469	20	466	25	491	23	477

## Average Study Time

Average number of hours students spend studying per day by subject area:

Subject	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
Math	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Science	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6
All Others	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
Total Hours	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.1

## Average Leisure Time

Average number of hours students spend per day by activity:

Activity	ID	NC	MD	OR	US
Television	2.1	2.9	3.0	2.0	2.5
Computer	0.8	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.9
Friends	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.3	2.4
Home Chores	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1
Sports	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.9
Reading	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6

### Science

The science portion of the TIMSS 1999 included six content areas, abbreviated as follows:

- ♦ Earth Science (Earth)
- ♦ Life Science (Life)
- ♦ Physics (Physics)
- ♦ Chemistry (Chemistry)
- ♦ Environmental and Resource Issues (Environment)
- ◆ Scientific Inquire and the Nature of Science (Inquiry)

The results of the TIMSS 1999 show that Idaho 8<sup>th</sup> graders performed significantly above the international average of 488, and slightly higher than the United States (US) average. Idaho students' scores were similar to those of students in Missouri (MO) and Illinois (IL), and Idaho demographics are similar to those of Oregon students. Throughout the science section of this analysis summary, comparisons to MO, IL, and OR will be made. Additional comparisons to the US averages will also be included.

Please note that Pennsylvania (PN) did not comply with sample selection rules for TIMSS 1999. Because of this, comparisons to PN have been omitted, even though PN students' scores are similar to Idaho's.

### **Performance**

Idaho students performed about equally with students across the United States. It is important to note that 50% of Idaho's students are above the 75 percentile, and that only 9% are below the 25 percentile.

Idaho's students tend to perform about equally across all of the science disciplines tested in TIMSS 1999.

#### **Overall Scores**

Overall	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
	526	523	521	536	515

#### **Overall Percentile Rankings**

Percent of students scoring above the indicated percentiles:

Percentile	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
90 <sup>th</sup>	13%	14%	14%	19%	15%
75 <sup>th</sup>	37%	36%	36%	43%	34%
50 <sup>th</sup> (Median)	70%	67%	66%	73%	62%
25 <sup>th</sup>	91%	89%	88%	91%	85%

### Average Scores by Science Content Area

Average scores by all students in the six content areas:

Content Area	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
Earth	513	511	505	528	504
Life	531	525	525	541	520
Physics	507	506	506	513	498
Chemistry	518	513	508	527	508
Environment	522	514	513	520	509
Inquiry	513	515	532	525	522

### **Gender**

Idaho girls scored slightly lower than boys on the TIMSS 1999 science test. This difference in scores mirrors the scores of students in other states and nationwide, and is reflected in lower percentile rankings for girls than boys. It is also evident in all content areas except Inquire, where girls score equal to or higher than boys in all geographic areas.

### Average Scores by Gender

Average scores of male and female students:

Gender	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
Female	515	512	508	524	505
Male	537	534	533	549	524

### Gender Percentile Rankings

Percent of students scoring above the indicated percentiles by gender:

Percentile	ID		MO		IL		OR		US	
Gender	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M
75 <sup>th</sup>	19%	31%	19%	31%	20%	30%	19%	31%	20%	46%
50 <sup>th</sup> (Median)	44%	56%	44%	56%	46%	55%	44%	56%	30%	54%

### Average Scores by Science Content Area by Gender

Average scores by gender in each science content area:

Content	ID		M	MO		IL		OR		S
Gender	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M
Earth	500	526	502	520	496	514	520	537	490	518
Science	526	535	519	531	518	532	536	545	518	522
Physics	494	519	493	519	492	519	498	529	488	509
Chemistry	509	526	504	522	494	522	513	540	495	520
Environment	513	530	505	524	503	523	511	528	500	519
Inquiry	515	513	516	514	534	531	527	523	521	523

## Language, Race/Ethnicity, and Economic Issues

An obvious problem area nationwide is low scores for LEP and minority students. Scores for Idaho LEP and Hispanic students mirror scores from other standardized and state measures such as ITBS/TAP and the math part of TIMSS 1999. Please note that while Idaho does not have a significant minority population of 8<sup>th</sup> grade students other than Hispanic, low scores for other racial and ethnic groups are seen nationwide. Data for other ethnic groups in Idaho and elsewhere did not include enough data points to conduct statistical analyses.

Percentages of students from low social-economic status families were reported. However, these percentages did not include a disaggregation of TIMSS 1999 scores.

### Limited English Proficient (LEP)

Average scores and percent of students who speak English in their homes:

Level of	ID		MO		IL		OR		US	
English	%	Score								
Always	92	534	95	527	91	528	92	544	90	524
Sometimes	7	444	4	472	8	465	7	464	9	456
Never	1	_	1	_	1	_	1	_	1	_

## Race/Ethnicity

Average scores and percent of students by race/ethnicity:

	ID		M	MO		IL		OR		IS
Race/Ethnicity	%	Score								
White	83	537	78	543	65	550	80	549	63	547
Black	1	_	15	438	17	448	1	_	15	438
Hispanic	10	451	2	_	12	457	8	451	12	462
Asian/Pacific	2	_	1	_	4	539	4	530	5	527
American Indian	2	_	1	_	0	_	3	498	1	_
Other	2	_	3	475	2	_	4	548	4	502

#### Free/Reduced Lunch

Percentage of students receiving free and reduced lunch:

Free/Reduced	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
	37%	34%	31%	33%	39%

### **Classrooms and the Curriculum**

It appears instructional time follows a Yerkes-Dodson curve, with too much and too little instruction causing a negative effect on scores. However, the TIMSS 1999 data does not support this conclusion. There is not enough evidence available to determine if too much or too little instruction causes a lowering of scores, or if this is an artifact of an undetermined variable. The same may be said for class size and interruptions. The TIMSS 1999 data does not include enough data to appropriately determine the causation of these unusual results.

On the other hand, there is evidence that appropriate use of computers and experiments in the classroom will help students score higher. There is a positive correlation between the use of experiments in the classroom and scores on the TIMSS 1999. Note, however, that inappropriate, forced, or continuous use of computers has a detrimental effect, as does not using this tool at all.

Homework and attendance in science class also seems to be important tools for raising student scores in Idaho. TIMSS 1999 did not include an indication of the amount of homework assigned. However, the students of teachers who emphasize the importance of homework tend to score higher than students whose teachers do not emphasize homework. Attendance also has a positive impact on test scores.

## Science Instructional Time

Average score and percent of students by the average number of hours of science taught per week:

	ID		MO		I	L	C	R	US	
Hours	%	Score								
>5	19	515	16	504	9	478	10	524	13	490
3.5-5	60	529	71	534	51	538	61	546	61	523
2-3.5	10	543	7	508	29	511	24	542	16	533
<2	11	536	5	508	10	564	5	482	11	521

### Class Size

Average score and percent of students by science class size:

	I	ID		Ю	I	L	OR		US	
Class Size	%	Score								
1-20	25	524	31	508	15	531	17	526	15	530
21-35	74	529	65	533	82	525	81	547	80	522
>35	1	_	4	555	3	432	1	_	5	493

#### Attendance

Average scores and percent of students whose school reports low, medium, and high attendance in science class (based on number of tardies, absences, and skips):

	I	ID		MO		L	OR		US	
Attendance	%	Score								
High	14	537	10	553	22	534	4	500	19	553
Medium	78	528	80	527	73	521	84	537	68	512
Low	8	510	10	451	5	555	12	521	13	480

### **Interruptions**

Average score and percent of students by frequency of interruptions of science study (telephone calls, announcements, administrative visits, etc.)

	ID		MO		I	L	OR		US	
Interruptions	%	Score								
Never	13	520	13	523	19	530	13	532	13	519
Infrequent	59	542	54	541	56	537	57	554	57	539
Often	17	517	20	508	16	488	19	530	18	501
Frequent	12	490	13	482	9	482	11	505	11	470

### Experiment Use

Average score and percent of students conducting experiments in science classes:

	I	ID		MO		L	OR		US	
Experiments	%	Score								
High	34	534	31	536	34	542	49	557	31	531
Medium	65	528	62	524	61	520	50	533	64	523
Low	1	_	7	526	4	533	2	_	4	529

#### Computer Use

Average score and percent of students using computers in science classes the indicated amount. *This data was not reported for science students*.

Computer	I	ID		Ю	I	L	О	R	US	
Use	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score	%	Score
Always	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Occasionally	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Never	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

### Homework Emphasis

Average score and percent of students of teachers reporting low, medium, and high emphasis on science homework:

Amount of	I	ID		MO		L	OR		US	
Homework	%	Score								
High	7	531	11	534	13	499	17	548	15	507
Medium	69	526	76	519	74	521	68	534	77	517
Low	24	527	14	538	12	549	14	538	8	505

### **The Teachers**

The TIMSS 1999 identifies a low number of young teachers entering the teaching profession in science. It has been reported in other studies that many of the math and science graduates of Idaho's post-secondary teacher education programs are recruited and hired out-of-state. The data reported in the TIMSS 1999 seem to support that conclusion, especially when compared to other states (except Oregon) and nationwide.

Other characteristics of Idaho's science teachers, major areas of study, confidence in their ability to teach science, and professional development, appear to be similar to that of teachers in other states and nationwide.

## Age of Teachers

Percent of students taught by teachers in indicated age brackets:

Teacher Age	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
<30	9%	28%	15%	5%	20%
30-39	14%	21%	29%	25%	19%
40-49	44%	31%	29%	50%	29%
>50	33%	21%	28%	20%	32%

### Teachers' Major Area of Study

Percent of students taught by teachers graduating in indicated major area of study. Teachers who responded that they majored in more than one area are reflected in all categories (state totals will equal more than 100%):

Major	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
Biology	42%	56%	44%	51%	47%
Physics	8%	14%	6%	14%	13%
Chemistry	18%	24%	11%	28%	21%
Science Ed	50%	72%	46%	74%	43%
Mathematics	7%	11%	12%	13%	14%
Education	68%	72%	65%	58%	56%
Other	58%	56%	54%	46%	45%

## Science Teacher Confidence

Average scores and percent of students taught by teachers indicating a specific level of confidence in science teaching ability:

	Ι	D	MO		I	L	O	R	US	
Confidence	%	Score								
High	21	521	23	531	28	538	35	541	27	526
Medium	53	533	57	519	54	524	44	529	55	519
Low	27	522	20	527	18	509	21	545	18	511

### Professional Development Focus

Percent of students whose teachers report that professional development is focused on indicated science content areas (teachers report multiple content areas as applicable):

Content Area	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
Earth	44%	53%	46%	60%	52%
Biology	27%	36%	39%	37%	42%
Chemistry	38%	33%	46%	38%	39%
Physics	44%	31%	33%	34%	41%
Environment	38%	52%	50%	36%	47%
Inquiry	49%	68%	50%	74%	60%

### **The Students**

There appears to be a direct positive correlation between educational resources and computer use in the home and student scores on the TIMSS 1999 science test, as reported in other studies. The TIMSS 1999 also confirms studies that show that study outside of class improves test scores with study time of more than one hour per day greatly improved test scores. Idaho students report studying for all subjects about 2 hours per day.

It is also interesting to note how students spend their daily out-of-class leisure hours. Reports from Idaho students parallels that of students in other states and nationwide. Of particular interest is the report that reading occupies less than 45 minutes per day, and that music lessons and practice did not make the list.

#### Home Resources

Average scores and percent of students with varying levels of educational resources at home:

	I	D	MO		I	IL		R	US	
Resources	%	Score								
High	21	566	17	567	22	577	28	586	22	573
Medium	74	523	79	517	74	509	68	523	73	506
Low	5	423	4	453	4	438	3	413	4	420

#### **Home Computers**

Average scores and percent of students with computers in their homes:

	I	ID		MO		IL		R	US	
Computers	%	Score								
Yes	82	537	76	535	80	533	86	547	80	531
No	18	481	24	486	20	470	14	474	20	464

# Out-of-school Study Time

Average scores for students studying the indicated time on a daily basis

	ID		MO		IL		OR		US	
Study Hours	%	Score								
>3 hours	17	527	18	516	25	509	19	548	22	520
1-3 hours	55	536	54	533	58	530	55	545	56	531
<1 hour	28	514	28	514	17	517	25	522	23	492

## Average Study Time

Average number of hours students spend studying per day by subject area:

Subject	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
Science	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6
Mathematics	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8
All Others	0.8	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.9
Total Hours	1.9	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.1

## Average Leisure Time

Average number of hours students spend per day by activity:

Activity	ID	MO	IL	OR	US
Television	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.0	2.5
Computer	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9
Friends	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.4
Home Chores	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.1
Sports	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9
Reading	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.6